arXiv:1704.00459v1 [math.PR] 3 Apr 2017

Convergence in First Passage Percolation with nonidentical passage times

Ghurumuruhan Ganesan *

New York University, Abu Dhabi

Abstract

In this paper we consider first passage percolation on the square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with edge passage times that are independent and have uniformly bounded second moment, but not necessarily identically distributed. For integer $n \geq 1$, let T_n be the minimum passage time between the origin and the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We prove that $\frac{1}{n}(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n)$ converges to zero almost surely and in L^2 as $n \to \infty$. The convergence is nontrivial in the sense that $\frac{T_n}{n}$ is asymptotically bounded away from zero and infinity almost surely. We first define a truncated version $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ that is asymptotically equivalent to T_n. We then use a finite box modification of the martingale method of Kesten (1993) to estimate the variance of $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$. Finally, we use a subsequence argument to obtain almost sure convergence for $\frac{1}{n}(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)})$. The corresponding result for T_n is then obtained using asymptotic equivalence of T_n and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$. For identically distributed passage times, our method alternately obtains almost sure convergence of $\frac{T_n}{n}$ to a positive constant μ_F, without invoking the subadditive ergodic theorem.

Key words: First passage percolation, nonidentical passage times, almost sure convergence, subsequence argument.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: Primary: 60J10, 60K35; Secondary: 60C05, 62E10, 90B15, 91D30.

*E-Mail: gganesan82@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Consider the square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, where two vertices $w_1 = (w_{1,1}, \ldots, w_{1,d})$ and $w_2 = (w_{2,1}, \ldots, w_{2,d})$ are adjacent if $\sum_{i=1}^{d} |w_{1,i} - w_{2,i}| = 1$ and adjacent vertices are joined together by an edge. Let $\{q_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ denote the set of edges. Each edge q_i is equipped with a random passage time $t(q_i)$ and for integer $n \geq 1$, we are interested in the shortest passage time T_n from the origin to the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We give formal definitions below.

When the passage times are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) the subadditive ergodic theorem (Kingman (1973)) is used for studying almost sure convergence and convergence in mean of $\frac{T_n}{n}$ (see Smythe and Wierman (2008), Cox and Durrett (1981), Kesten (1986) and references therein). In many cases of interest, it may happen that the passage times are not i.i.d. As a simple example we think of the nodes as mobile stations and passage time as the time taken to send a packet from one node to another. Links between adjacent nodes may have different passage time depending on the geographical conditions etc. In such cases, it is of interest to study convergence properties of the first passage time T_n with appropriate centering and scaling.

Model

We briefly describe the probability space first. For integer $i \geq 1$, let $\Omega_i = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}(\Omega_i)$ denote real line and the Borel sigma field, respectively. Let $\Omega = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i$ and $\mathcal{F} = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(\Omega_i)$ denote the product space and product sigma field, respectively. Here $\mathcal{F}$ is the product sigma algebra generated by the cylinder sets of the form $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where each A_i is a Borel set in $\mathbb{R}$ and $A_i = \mathbb{R}$ for all but a finite set of values of i. We recall that $t(q_i)$ is the random passage time of the edge q_i of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We define the random sequence $(t(q_1), t(q_2), \ldots)$ on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. If $\omega \in \Omega$ is a realization of the passage times $(t(q_1), t(q_2), \ldots)$, we say that $t(q_i) = t(q_i, \omega)$ is the passage time of the edge q_i for the realization ω.

In what follows, we consider passage times of paths and we therefore give a formal definition below. A *path* π in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is a sequence of distinct edges $(e_1, ..., e_t)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with the following three properties: The edge e_1 shares an endvertex only with edge e_2 and no other edge in π. The edge e_t shares an endvertex with only the edge e_{t-1} and no other edge in π. For $2 \leq i \leq t-1$, the edge e_i shares an endvertex with only the edges e_{i-1} and e_{i+1} and no

other edge in π. All paths we consider in this paper are self avoiding paths with finite number of edges. Further let a be the endvertex of e_1 not common with e_2 and let b be the endvertex of e_t not common with e_{t-1}. We say that a and b are the *endvertices* of the path π.

For $\omega \in \Omega$ and a path $\pi = (e_1, \ldots, e_r), e_i \subset \{q_j\}$ containing r edges, we define the passage time of π as

$$T(\pi) = T(\pi, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} t(e_i, \omega). \tag{1.1}$$

Letting $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of all finite paths with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, ..., 0)$, we define

$$T_n = T_n(\omega) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} T(\pi, \omega) \tag{1.2}$$

to be the *minimum passage time* between the origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. For convenience, we suppress the dependence on ω unless specifically mentioned.

To see the measurability of T_n, we fix a finite path π and let $T(\pi)$ be the corresponding passage time as defined in (1.1) above. For finite paths π the passage time $T(\pi) = \sum_{e \in \pi} t(e)$ is simply the sum of the passage times of the individual edges. Since each $t(e)$ is $\mathcal{F}-$measurable, we have that $T(\pi)$ is $\mathcal{F}-$measurable. Also, we have that $\mathcal{P}_n = \cup_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ where $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ denotes the set of all paths contained in the box $B_m = [-m, m]^d$ and having endvertices as the origin and the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Therefore $\mathcal{P}_n$ is countable and we have from (1.2) that T_n is also $\mathcal{F}-$measurable.

Our aim is to study convergence (almost surely and in mean) of the sequence T_n suitably centred and scaled, when the passage times $\{t(q_i)\}$ are independent (but not necessarily identically distributed) random variables. We define the following mild conditions on the passage times.
(i) We have that $\sup_i \mathbb{P}(t(q_i) < \epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.
(ii) We have that $\sup_i \mathbb{E}t^2(q_i) < \infty$.
$(ii)(a)$ We have that $\{t^2(q_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a uniformly integrable sequence in the sense that

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{E}t^2(q_i)\mathbf{1}(t(q_i) \geq M) \longrightarrow 0$$

as $M \to \infty$.
$(ii)(b)$ We have that $\sup_i \mathbb{E}t^p(q_i) < \infty$ for some $p > 2$.

The conditions $(ii)(a)$ and $(ii)(b)$ are needed only for the L^2-convergence results and are stronger than condition (ii); i.e., condition $(ii)(b)$ implies condition $(ii)(a)$ implies condition (ii). Unless otherwise mentioned, all results in this paper are derived assuming only conditions $(i)-(ii)$.

The following is the main result of our paper.

Theorem 1. *If conditions (i) and (ii) hold, we have that*

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n\right) \longrightarrow 0 \ \ a.s. \ and \ in \ L^1 \tag{1.3}$$

as $n \to \infty$. If conditions (i) and $(ii)(a)$ hold, then (1.3) holds a.s. and in L^2. If conditions (i) and $(ii)(b)$ hold, then (1.3) holds a.s. and in L^2 and also

$$var(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n) \leq Cn \tag{1.4}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$. In all the cases, the convergence is nontrivial in the sense that there are constants $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\eta_1 \leq \liminf_n \frac{T_n}{n} \leq \limsup_n \frac{T_n}{n} \leq \eta_2 \ a.s. \tag{1.5}$$

For the particular case of i.i.d. passage times, the uniform integrability condition $(ii)(a)$ is implied by the moment condition (ii) and we have the following as a Corollary of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *If the passage times are i.i.d. and conditions (i) and (ii) hold, we have that*

$$\frac{T_n}{n} \longrightarrow \mu_F \ \ a.s. \ and \ in \ L^2 \tag{1.6}$$

as $n \to \infty$, for some constant $\mu_F > 0$.

The constant μ_F is also called the time constant. We remark that an important contribution in our paper is the use of truncation and a subsequence argument (described below) to obtain almost sure convergence for $\frac{1}{n}(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n)$. For the particular case of i.i.d. passage times, we alternately obtain almost sure convergence of $\frac{T_n}{n}$ to the constant μ_F, without invoking the subadditive ergodic theorem. For more material on first passage percolation using subadditive ergodic theorem, we refer to Alexander (1993), Cox and Durrett (1981), Kesten (1993) and Smythe and Wierman (2008) and references therein.

We give a brief outline of the idea of the proof presented in Section 3. For a fixed $n \geq 1$, we first truncate the passage time of every edge by n^α for some constant $\alpha > 0$. For appropriately chosen α, we show that the corresponding truncated minimum passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ is asymptotically equivalent to T_n. Using a finite box modification of the martingale difference method of Kesten (1993), we then show that the variance of $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ grows at most linearly with n. We use the variance estimate and a subsequence argument to show that $\frac{\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)}}{n} \longrightarrow 0$ a.s. and in L^2 as $n \to \infty$. From the asymptotic equivalence of T_n and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$, we then obtain the analogous result for T_n.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we define the construction of the auxiliary truncated passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ that is useful to study the convergence of T_n. We also obtain auxiliary results needed for future use. In Section 3, we prove that the truncated and untruncated passage times are asymptotically equivalent and that it suffices to study the a.s. convergence of $\frac{1}{n}\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right)$. In Section 4 we obtain variance estimates for both $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ and T_n, to be used in the proof of Theorem 1. Finally in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

2 Truncated passage time and geodesic estimates

Throughout the paper, we use an approximate truncated passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ to obtain estimates on T_n. In this section, we define $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ formally and show that almost surely minimum passage times are attained by finite paths (geodesics). We also obtain estimates on the lengths of geodesics that are useful in the proof of Theorem 1.

For integer $k \geq 1$, we define auxiliary random variables $\{\hat{T}_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ as follows. As before let $\omega \in \Omega$ be any fixed realization of the passage times $(t(q_1), t(q_2), \ldots)$ of the edges $\{q_i\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For $i \geq 1$, let

$$t^{(k)}(q_i) = t^{(k)}(q_i, \omega) = \min(t(q_i, \omega), k^\alpha), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant to be determined later and as before $t(q_i, \omega)$ is the passage time of the edge q_i for the realization ω. For any fixed path $\pi = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ having the origin as an endvertex and containing r edges, we

define the truncated passage time

$$\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi) = \hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} t^{(k)}(e_i, \omega). \tag{2.2}$$

As before, for a fixed integer $n \geq 1$, we let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of all finite paths with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and define

$$\hat{T}_n^{(k)} = \hat{T}_n^{(k)}(\omega) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi, \omega) \tag{2.3}$$

to be the *minimum truncated passage time* between the origin and the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We use the hat notation to emphasize the approximation of T_n. As before we suppress the dependence on ω unless specifically mentioned.

For integer $i \geq 1$, let f_i denotes the edge between $(i-1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(i, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We collect the properties of the truncated passage times needed for future use.

Lemma 2.1. *Fix integers $n, k \geq 1$. For any fixed integer $k_1 \geq k$ we have*

$$\hat{T}_n^{(k)} \leq \hat{T}_n^{(k_1)} \leq T_n \tag{2.4}$$

and so

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\hat{T}_n^{(k)}}{n}\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{T_n}{n}\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i)\right)^2 \leq C \tag{2.5}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ not depending on n or k. Also for any integer $n_1 \geq n$ we have

$$|\hat{T}_n^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)}| \leq k^{\alpha}(n_1 - n). \tag{2.6}$$

The relations (2.4) and (2.6) hold for every $\omega \in \Omega$. In particular the result (2.5) also implies that $\frac{T_n}{n}$ is uniformly integrable.

Proof of Lemma 2.1: To see (2.4), we first note that for any edge h we have that the truncated passage times satisfy

$$t^{(k)}(h) = \min(t(h), k^{\alpha}) \leq t^{(k_1)}(h) \leq t(h).$$

Thus for any fixed finite path π with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ we have that

$$\sum_{h \in \pi} t^{(k)}(h) \leq \sum_{h \in \pi} t^{(k_1)}(h) \leq \sum_{h \in \pi} t(h).$$

In other words, the passage times of the paths (see (2.2)) satisfy

$$\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi) \leq \hat{T}^{(k_1)}(\pi) \leq T(\pi).$$

Taking infimum over all such paths π gives (2.4).

To prove (2.5), we use the following relation.

$$\hat{T}_n^{(k_1)} \leq T_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \tag{2.7}$$

The first estimate in (2.7) is true from (2.4) and the second inequality follows from (2.12) in Proposition 1. It therefore suffices to prove the final estimate in (2.5). We use the estimate $(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i)^2 \leq l \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i^2$ for positive $\{a_i\}$ with $l = n$ and $a_i = t(f_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$ to get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i)\right)^2 \leq n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}t^2(f_i) \leq Cn^2$$

where the final estimate follows from the moment condition (ii) of Section 1.

In what follows we prove (2.6). Let $\hat{T}_{n,n_1}^{(k)}$ denote the minimum passage time between the vertices $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(n_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ defined analogously as $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$ for each k, n and n_1. We first prove that

$$\hat{T}_n^{(k)} \leq \hat{T}_{n,n_1}^{(k)} + \hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)} \text{ and } \hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)} \leq \hat{T}_n^{(k)} + \hat{T}_{n,n_1}^{(k)}. \tag{2.8}$$

We prove the first estimate in (2.8). The proof of the other is analogous.

Let π be any finite path with endvertices as origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and let π' be any finite path with endvertices $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(n_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. The union $\pi \cup \pi'$ contains a path with endvertices as origin and $(n_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Therefore by definition, we have that the minimum passage time between the origin and $(n_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ satisfies

$$\hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)} \leq \hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi) + \hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi').$$

Here $\hat{T}^{(k)}(.)$ is the truncated passage time as defined in (2.2). Taking infimum over all paths π gives

$$\hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)} \leq \hat{T}_n^{(k)} + \hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi').$$

Taking infimum over all paths π' then gives the first estimate in (2.8).

Thus from (2.8) we have

$$|\hat{T}_n^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)}| \leq \hat{T}_{n,n_1}^{(k)} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{n_1} t^{(k)}(f_i) \tag{2.9}$$

where as before f_i denotes the edge from $(i-1,0,\ldots,0)$ to $(i,0,\ldots,0)$. The final estimate again follows from the definition of the minimum passage time. Since for any edge h, the truncated passage time satisfies

$$t^{(k)}(h) = \min(t(h), k^\alpha) \leq k^\alpha,$$

we have from the last estimate in (2.9) that

$$|\hat{T}_n^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n_1}^{(k)}| \leq k^\alpha(n_1 - n).$$

This proves (2.6). ∎

Geodesics

To study further the relation between the truncated and untruncated passage times, we need the concept of geodesics. In the first part of this subsection, we study the existence of geodesics and the second part we derive auxiliary estimates regarding the geodesics needed for future use.

We have the following main result regarding the existence of geodesics. As before, for integer $i \geq 1$, we let f_i denote the edge between $(i-1,0,\ldots,0)$ and $(i,0,\ldots,0)$.

Proposition 1. *There is a null set $Z_0 \subseteq \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(Z_0) = 0$ so the following holds for all $\omega \in Z_0^c$. For all integers $n \geq 1$, there are unique (finite) paths $\pi_n = \pi_n(\omega)$ and $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} = \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}(\omega)$ such that*

$$T_n = T(\pi_n) \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\hat{T}_n^{(n)} = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}). \tag{2.11}$$

If $\omega \in Z_0$, then for every integer $n \geq 1$, we define $\pi_n = \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} = (f_1,\ldots,f_n)$, i.e., the straight line with endvertices as origin and the point $(n,\ldots,0)$. For all $\omega \in \Omega$, we therefore have

$$T_n \leq T(\pi_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \text{ and } \hat{T}_n^{(n)} \leq \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{(n)}(f_i). \tag{2.12}$$

We refer to π_n and $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ as geodesics. In what follows, we also derive certain auxiliary estimates along the way that are useful for estimating the variances in Section 3.

The first step is to see that long paths have sizeable passage time with high probability. Let

$$\mu := \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(q_i). \tag{2.13}$$

Using conditions (i) and (ii) in Section 1, we have that

$$\mu \in (0, \infty). \tag{2.14}$$

To see that $\mu < \infty$, we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and have for any edge e that

$$\mathbb{E}t(e) \leq \left(\mathbb{E}t^2(e)\right)^{1/2}.$$

Using condition (ii) in Section 1, we therefore have $\mu < \infty$. For $\mu > 0$, we fix edge e and $\epsilon > 0$ and have that

$$\mathbb{E}t(e) \geq \epsilon \mathbb{P}(t(e) \geq \epsilon).$$

Using condition (i) of Section 1, we therefore have that

$$\mu \geq \inf_i \mathbb{E}t(q_i) \geq \epsilon \inf_i \mathbb{P}(t(q_i) \geq \epsilon) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

if $\epsilon > 0$ is small.

For any fixed path $\pi = (e_1, \ldots, e_m)$ containing m edges, let $T(\pi)$ and $\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi)$ be the untruncated and truncated passage times as defined in (1.1) and (2.2), respectively. We have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ be as in (2.13). We have*

$$\mathbb{P}(T(\pi) \leq \beta_1 m) \leq e^{-dm} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi) \leq \beta_1 m) \leq e^{-dm} \tag{2.16}$$

for some positive constant $\beta_1 \in (0, \mu)$ and for all $m, k \geq 1$.

Here and henceforth all constants are independent of n and k.

Proof Lemma 2.2: To prove (2.15), we write

$$\mathbb{P}(T(\pi) \leq \beta m) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} t(e_i) \leq \beta m\right)$$

for a fixed $\beta > 0$. For a fixed $s > 0$ we have that $\sum_{i=1}^m t(e_i) \leq \beta m$ if and only if the product $\prod_{i=1}^m e^{-st(e_i)} \geq e^{-s\beta m}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(T(\pi) \leq \beta m) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{i=1}^m e^{-st(e_i)} \geq e^{-s\beta m}\right) \\
&\leq e^{s\beta m}\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^m e^{-st(e_i)}\right) \\
&= e^{s\beta m}\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-st(e_i)}\right) \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the Markov inequality and the equality (2.17) follows since $\{t(e_i)\}_{1\leq i\leq m}$ are independent.

For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}e^{-st(e_i)} &= \int_{t(e_i)<\epsilon} e^{-st(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} + \int_{t(e_i)\geq\epsilon} e^{-st(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} \\
&\leq \int_{t(e_i)<\epsilon} e^{-st(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} + e^{-s\epsilon} \qquad (2.18) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(t(e_i) < \epsilon) + e^{-s\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for any fixed $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-st(e_i)} \leq \sup_{j\geq 1}\mathbb{E}e^{-st(e_j)} \leq \sup_j \mathbb{P}(t(e_j) < \epsilon) + e^{-s\epsilon}.$$

Using condition (i) of Section 1, the first term in the last expression is less than $\frac{e^{-6d}}{2}$ if $\epsilon = \epsilon(d) > 0$ is small. Fixing such an ϵ, we choose $s = s(\epsilon) > 0$ large so that the second term is also less than $\frac{e^{-6d}}{2}$. The choices of ϵ and s do not depend on the index i. Therefore substituting into (2.17), we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(T(\pi) \leq \beta m) \leq e^{s\beta m}e^{-6dm} \leq e^{-2dm},$$

for all $m \geq 1$ provided $\beta = \beta(s, d) > 0$ is small enough so that $s\beta < 4d$. Without loss of generality, we let $\beta < \mu$.

The proof of (2.16) is analogous. We use the fact that $\{t^{(k)}(e_i)\}_{1\leq i\leq m}$ are independent and thus for a fixed $s > 0$ we have (as in (2.17)) that

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi) \leq \beta m) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^{(k)}(e_i) \leq \beta m\right) \leq e^{s\beta m}\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}(e^{-st^{(k)}(e_i)}). \quad (2.19)$$

For a fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}e^{-st^{(k)}(e_i)} &= \int_{t^{(k)}(e_i)<\epsilon} e^{-st^{(k)}(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} + \int_{t^{(k)}(e_i)\geq\epsilon} e^{-st^{(k)}(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq \int_{t^{(k)}(e_i)<\epsilon} e^{-st^{(k)}(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} + e^{-s\epsilon} \\ &= \int_{t(e_i)<\epsilon} e^{-st(e_i)}d\mathbb{P} + e^{-s\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

which is the same as (2.18). The final equality is because $\epsilon < 1$ and thus $t^{(k)}(e_i) < \epsilon$ if and only if $t(e_i) < \epsilon$. By an analogous analysis following (2.18) we obtain (2.16). ∎

The next step in the construction is to obtain estimates on the straight line joining origin to $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. As in the statement of the Proposition 1, for $i \geq 1$, let f_i denote the edge between $(i-1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(i, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and let

$$A_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \leq 2\mu n \right\}, \tag{2.20}$$

and for $k \geq 1$, let

$$A_n(k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{(k)}(f_i) \leq 2\mu n \right\}, \tag{2.21}$$

where as before $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ is as in (2.13). We have the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n A_n\right) = 1 \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n A_n(n)\right) = 1. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3: We prove (2.22) first. Letting $X_i = t(f_i) - \mathbb{E}t(f_i)$, we have that

$$\sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{var(X_i)}{i^2} \leq \sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)}{i^2} \leq C_1 \sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{1}{i^2} < \infty \tag{2.24}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant and the second inequality follows from the moment condition (ii) of Section 1. Therefore using Kronecker's Lemma (Theorem 2.5.5, Chapter 2, Durrett (2010)), we have that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}t(f_i) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.25}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Let Z denote the null set in (2.25) with $\mathbb{P}(Z) = 0$ and fix $\omega \in Z^c$. There exists $N = N(\omega)$ so that for all $n \geq N$ we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}t(f_i) + \mu \leq 2\mu. \tag{2.26}$$

The final estimate holds since $\mathbb{E}t(f_i) \leq \mu$ by definition. This implies that $\omega \in A_n$ for all $n \geq N$. Thus $\omega \in \liminf_n A_n$ and so (2.22) holds.

For the other estimate (2.23), we have that $t^{(n)}(f_i) \leq t(f_i)$ for all i and therefore $A_n \subseteq A_n(n)$ for all n and so (2.23) also holds. ∎

As a final step before proving Proposition 1, we need lower bounds on passage times of long paths. Let $\beta_1 > 0$ be as in Lemma 2.2 and let

$$E_m := \bigcup_{r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} m} \bigcup_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \{T(\pi) < \beta_1 r\} \tag{2.27}$$

denote the event that there exists a path π with origin as an endvertex and consisting of $r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} m$ edges, whose passage time $T(\pi)$ defined in (1.1) is less than $\beta_1 r$. In the above $\mathcal{Q}_r$ denotes the set of all paths with origin as an endvertex and consisting of r edges. Since there are at most $(2d)^r$ paths in $\mathcal{Q}_r$, we have using (2.15) that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_m) \leq \sum_{r \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1} m} (2d)^r e^{-dr} \leq \sum_{r \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1} m} e^{-\beta_{22} r} \leq C_2 e^{-\beta_2 m} \tag{2.28}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and for some positive constants β_2, β_{22} and C_2. Here we use xe^{-x} attains its maximum at $x = 1$ and so $2de^{-d} \leq 2e^{-1} =: e^{-\beta_{22}}$ for all $d \geq 2$.

We define a similar event for the truncated random variables. For $m \geq 1$, let

$$E_m(k) := \bigcup_{r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} m} \bigcup_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \left\{\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi) < \beta_1 r\right\} \tag{2.29}$$

denote the event that there exists a path π starting from the origin containing $r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} m$ edges and whose truncated passage time $\hat{T}^{(k)}(\pi)$ defined in (2.2) is less than $\beta_1 r$. Using (2.16) and proceeding as in (2.28), we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_m(k)) \leq C_2 e^{-\beta_2 m} \tag{2.30}$$

for all $m, k \geq 1$, where $C_2, \beta_2 > 0$ are the constants in (2.28).

Using (2.28) and (2.30) individually with the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we therefore have the following result. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n E_n^c\right) = 1 \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n E_n^c(n)\right) = 1. \tag{2.32}$$

We collect the above results to prove Proposition 1. For $m \geq 1$, let

$$B_m := [-m, m]^d \tag{2.33}$$

denote the box with side length $2m$.

Proof of Proposition 1: We prove (2.10) and an analogous proof holds for (2.11). Let E_n and A_n be the events defined in (2.27) and (2.20), respectively. Setting

$$F_n = E_n^c \cap A_n, \tag{2.34}$$

we have from (2.22) and (2.31) that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n F_n\right) = 1. \tag{2.35}$$

Suppose $\omega \in \liminf_n F_n$. There exists $N_1 = N_1(\omega)$ such that for all $n \geq N_1$, we have $\omega \in F_n = E_n^c \cap A_n$. Fix $n \geq N_1$. Since $\omega \in A_n$, the minimum passage time $T_n = T_n(\omega)$ between the origin and the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is at most $2\mu n$. Since $\omega \in E_n^c$, every path containing the origin as an endvertex and consisting of $r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} n$ edges, has untruncated passage time of at least $\beta_1 r \geq 8\mu n$. Therefore, some path π contained completely in $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n}$ has passage time $T(\pi) = \sum_{e \in \pi} t(e) \leq 2\mu n$. In particular, there exists a path $\pi_n = \pi_n(\omega)$ contained in $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n}$ such that

$$T_n = T(\pi_n). \tag{2.36}$$

If there are multiple choices for any π_n, we use a fixed rule, for example, the iterative procedure described at the end of this section to choose a path.

If $n < N_1$, again we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} t(f_i) \leq 2\mu N_1$, since $\omega \in A_{N_1}$. Also, since $\omega \in E_{N_1}^c$, every path containing the origin as an endvertex and consisting of $r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} N_1$ edges has passage time at least $\beta_1 r \geq 8\mu N_1$. Arguing as before, some path π contained completely in $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}N_1}$ has passage time $T(\pi)$ less than or equal to $2\mu N_1$ and so there exists a path π_n satisfying (2.36) and completely contained in $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}N_1}$.

If $\omega \notin \liminf_n F_n$, then ω belongs to a null set. We set π_n to be the path consisting of the edges $\{f_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n-1}$ and therefore π_n is the straight line joining the origin to $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. By construction, we have that (2.12) is satisfied.

We do an analogous analysis as above for the truncated random variable $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$. Recalling the events $E_n(n)$ and $A_n(n)$ defined in (2.29) and (2.21), respectively, we set

$$\hat{F}_n = E_n^c(n) \cap A_n(n), \tag{2.37}$$

we have from (2.23) and (2.32) that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n \hat{F}_n\right) = 1. \tag{2.38}$$

We then obtain the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ as in the discussion in the paragraph following (2.35).

Finally, we set

$$Z_0^c := \liminf_n (F_n \cap \hat{F}_n)$$

and obtain from (2.35) and (2.38) that $\mathbb{P}(Z_0) = 0$. And for all $\omega \in Z_0^c$, finite paths attain the minimum truncated and untruncated passage times as described above.

We prove the first set of inequalities in (2.12). An analogous proof holds for the other set. Let π_0 denotes the straight line with origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ as endvertices. Fix $\omega \in Z_0^c$. We then have $T(\pi_n) = T_n \leq T(\pi_0)$ where the final inequality is by definition of the minimum passage time (see (1.2)). If $\omega \in Z_0$, then $T_n \leq T(\pi_n) = T(\pi_0)$ where the first inequality again is by the definition of minimum passage time in (1.2). ∎

Finally, for completeness, we provide an iterative procedure to choose a single path in the presence of multiple choices. We remark that it is also possible to choose a path using any deterministic rule like for e.g., a fixed ordering

of the paths. For simplicity we consider the case for $d = 2$. An analogous procedure holds for general d. Let $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{L_i\}_{1\le i\le W} = \{(S_{i,1}, ..., S_{i,H_i})\}_{1\le i\le W}$ be any finite set of paths with endvertices $(0,0)$ and $(n,0)$.

Let $x_{i,j}$ and $y_{i,j}$ be the x- and y-coordinates, respectively, of the centre of the edge $S_{i,j}$. Let $y_1' = \min_{L_k\in\mathcal{S}_1} y_{k,1}$ and let $\mathcal{S}_1' = \{L_k \in \mathcal{S}_1 : y_{k,1} = y_1'\}$. Let $x_1' = \min_{L_k\in\mathcal{S}_1'} x_{k,1}$. Let h_1 be the edge attached to the origin whose centre has coordinates (x_1', y_1'). Clearly h_1 is the first edge of some path in $\mathcal{S}_1'$. Let $\mathcal{S}_2$ be the set of paths in $\mathcal{S}_1'$ whose first edge is h_1. Repeating the above procedure with $\mathcal{S}_2$, we obtain an edge h_2 attached to h_1. Continuing iteratively, this procedure terminates after a finite number of steps resulting in a unique path. Also, the final path obtained does not depend on the initial ordering of the paths.

Geodesics contained in large boxes

The following result estimates the probability that the geodesics π_n and $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ are contained in large finite boxes.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mu = \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(e_i) \in (0,\infty)$ be as in (2.13) and let $\beta_1 \in (0,\mu)$ be the constant in Lemma 2.2. Fix integer $n \ge 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$ a constant and let $n_\epsilon = n^{1+\epsilon}$. We have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\pi_n \subseteq B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n_\epsilon}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{C}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} \tag{2.39}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} \subseteq B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n_\epsilon}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{C}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} \tag{2.40}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for all $n \ge 1$.

In the above we use the notation $\left\{\pi_n \subseteq B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n_\epsilon}\right\}$ to denote the event that every edge of π_n is contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n_\epsilon}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.4: We prove (2.40) and the proof is analogous for (2.39). As in Proposition 1, let f_i denote the edge between $(i-1,0,\ldots,0)$ and $(i,0,\ldots,0)$. Let $E_n(k)$ be the event defined in (2.29) and similar to (2.21) define the event

$$\hat{A}_{n_\epsilon}(n) = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{(n)}(f_i) \le 2\mu n^{1+\epsilon}\right\} \tag{2.41}$$

Setting

$$H_n = E^c_{n_\epsilon}(n) \cap \hat{A}_{n_\epsilon}(n), \tag{2.42}$$

we suppose that H_n occurs. The minimum truncated passage time $\hat{T}^{(n)}_n$ between the origin and the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is at most $2\mu n^{1+\epsilon}$. Since $E^c_{n_\epsilon}(n)$ also occurs, every path π starting from the origin and containing $r \geq \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} n^{1+\epsilon}$ edges has truncated passage time $\hat{T}^{(n)}(\pi) \geq \beta_1 r \geq 8\mu n^{1+\epsilon}$. Therefore arguing as in the paragraph preceding (2.36), we have that the geodesic $\hat{\pi}^{(n)}_n$ with endvertices as origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is contained in $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1} n_\epsilon}$.

To estimate $\mathbb{P}(\hat{A}_{n_\epsilon}(n))$, we first have

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{A}^c_{n_\epsilon}(n)) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t^{(n)}(f_i) > 2\mu n^{1+\epsilon}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t(f_i) > 2\mu n^{1+\epsilon}\right)$$

since $t^{(n)}(f_i) \leq t(f_i)$ for all i (see (2.1)). Letting $X_i = t(f_i) - \mathbb{E}t(f_i)$ and using $\mathbb{E}t(f_i) \leq \mu$ for all i, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{A}^c_{n_\epsilon}(n)) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq 2\mu n^{1+\epsilon} - \mu n\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq \mu n^{1+\epsilon}\right).$$

Using Markov inequality on the last estimate, we then have

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{A}^c_{n_\epsilon}(n)) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2}{\mu^2 n^{2+2\epsilon}} \leq \frac{C_1 n}{n^{2+2\epsilon}} \tag{2.43}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$, where the final estimate follows from

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}X_i X_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \leq C_1 n \tag{2.44}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. The second equality in (2.44) follows from the independence of X_i and X_j for $j \neq i$ so that $\mathbb{E}X_i X_j = \mathbb{E}X_i \mathbb{E}X_j = 0$. The final estimate in (2.44) follows from the moment condition (ii) in Section 1.

From (2.28) and (2.43), we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(H^c_n) \leq \mathbb{P}(E_{n_\epsilon}(n)) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{A}^c_{n_\epsilon}(n)) \leq C_2 e^{-\beta_2 n^{1+\epsilon}} + \frac{C_1}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} \leq \frac{C_3}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} \tag{2.45}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. This proves (2.40) and an analogous proof holds for (2.39). ∎

3 Truncated and untruncated passage times are asymptotically equivalent

Fix integer $n \geq 1$. To see that the truncated minimum passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ is a good approximation of T_n, we need the estimates on the probability that $T_n = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}$. Defining the events

$$V_n = \{T_n = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}\} \text{ and } W_n = \{\hat{T}_{n^2}^{((n+1)^2)} = \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}\}, \tag{3.1}$$

we have the following result.

Proposition 2. *Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2d}$ where $d \geq 2$ is the dimension. We have*

$$\mathbb{P}(V_n^c) \leq \frac{C}{n^{1+\delta}} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(W_n^c) \leq \frac{C}{n^{2+2\delta}} \tag{3.3}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n\right) - \frac{1}{n}\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \ \ a.s. \tag{3.4}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

The above result implies that it suffices to study the convergence of $\frac{1}{n}\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right)$.

In what follows we first derive preliminary results related to V_n and W_n and then finally prove Proposition 2. Let Z_0 be the null set in Proposition 1 so that for all $\omega \in Z_0^c$, the minimum passage times (truncated and untruncated) are attained by finite paths. Fix $\omega \in Z_0^c$ and integer $n \geq 1$ and let $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} = \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}(\omega)$ be the geodesic for $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ as in Proposition 1 so that the truncated passage time $\hat{T}^{(n)}(.)$ as defined in (2.2) satisfies

$$\hat{T}_n^{(n)} = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}). \tag{3.5}$$

The geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ has finite number of edges and the following crucial observation regarding the passage times is a first step to estimate the probability of the event V_n.

Lemma 3.1. *Fix $\omega \in Z_0^c$. If $t(e) < n^\alpha$ for all $e \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}(\omega)$, then $T_n(\omega) = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}(\omega)$; i.e. $\omega \in V_n$. Here $\alpha > 0$ is as in (2.1).*

In words, if the untruncated passage time of each edge in the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ is less than n^α, then the truncated and the untruncated minimum passage times are equal.

Proof of Lemma 3.1: Fix $\omega \in Z_0^c$ and let $L = \#\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ denote the number of edges in $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} = \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}(\omega)$ and let $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} = (h_1, \ldots, h_L)$ denote the edges of the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$. Since $t(h_i) < n^\alpha$ we have that the truncated passage time $t^{(n)}(h_i) = \min(t(h_i), n^\alpha) = t(h_i)$ and so

$$T(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} t(h_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} t^{(n)}(h_i) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}. \tag{3.6}$$

The first equality follows from the definition of the untruncated path passage time $T(.)$ in (1.1). The third equality follows from the definition of the truncated passage time $\hat{T}^{(n)}(.)$ in (2.2) and the final equality follows from (3.5).

For any fixed path $\pi = (g_1, \ldots, g_r)$ with endvertices as origin and the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$, we therefore have

$$T(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \hat{T}_n^{(n)} \leq \hat{T}^{(n)}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} t^{(n)}(g_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} t(g_i) = T(\pi). \tag{3.7}$$

The first equality follows from (3.6). The second equality follows from (3.5) since $\omega \in Z_0^c$. The first inequality follows from the definition of the minimum truncated passage time in (2.3). The second inequality follows since $t^{(n)}(g_i) \leq t(g_i)$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see (2.1)).

Taking infimum over all finite paths π in (3.7) and using the definition of minimum passage time in (1.2), we have

$$T(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) \leq T_n \leq T(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)})$$

where the final estimate holds by the definition of the minimum passage time. But this means that equality holds in the above expression and we have

$$T_n = T(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}.$$

The middle equality follows from (3.6) above. This proves the Lemma. ∎

The observation in Lemma 3.1 along with the fact that geodesics are contained in finite boxes with high probability (see Lemma 2.4) allows us to estimate the event V_n. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be a constant (to be determined later) and recalling the notation in Lemma 2.4, let

$$G_n = \left\{ \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} \subseteq B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}} \right\} \tag{3.8}$$

denote the event that every edge of the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ is contained in the box $B_{n_0} = [-n_0, n_0]^d$, where $n_0 = 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}$. Here $\mu = \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(e_i) \in (0,\infty)$ is as in (2.13) and $\beta_1 \in (0,\mu)$ is the constant in Lemma 2.2.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(V_n^c) &= \mathbb{P}(V_n^c \cap G_n) + \mathbb{P}(V_n^c \cap G_n^c) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(V_n^c \cap G_n) + \mathbb{P}(G_n^c) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(V_n^c \cap G_n) + \frac{C_2}{n^{1+2\epsilon_0}} \qquad (3.9) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(V_n^c \cap G_n \cap Z_0^c) + \frac{C_2}{n^{1+2\epsilon_0}} \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$, where (3.9) follows using (2.40) and (3.10) follows since $\mathbb{P}(Z_0) = 0$ from Proposition 1.

To evaluate the first term in (3.10), suppose that $V_n^c \cap G_n \cap Z_0^c$ occurs. We recall that since Z_0^c occurs, the truncated minimum passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ is also the passage time of the finite path $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$. Since V_n^c also occurs, we have that $T_n \neq \hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ and therefore we have from Lemma 3.1 that some edge $e \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ has untruncated passage time $t(e) \geq n^\alpha$. But since the event G_n also occurs, every edge in the path $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ is completely contained in the box $B_{n_0} = [n_0, n_0]^d$, where $n_0 = 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}$.

From the discussion in the previous paragraph we therefore have that if the event $V_n^c \cap G_n \cap Z_0^c$ occurs, then some edge $e \in B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}}$ belongs to the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ and has (untruncated) passage time $t(e) \geq n^\alpha$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(V_n^c \cap G_n \cap Z_0^c\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{e \in B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}}} \{e \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}\} \cap \{t(e) \geq n^\alpha\} \cap Z_0^c \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{e \in B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}}} \mathbb{P}\left(\{e \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}\} \cap \{t(e) \geq n^\alpha\} \cap Z_0^c\right). \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix integer $n \geq 1$ and let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be a constant. For a fixed edge $e \in B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}}$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\{e \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}\} \cap \{t(e) \geq n^\alpha\} \cap Z_0^c\right) \leq \frac{C}{n^{4d\alpha}}, \tag{3.12}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of the choice of e. Here $d \geq 2$ is the dimension and $\alpha > 0$ is as in (2.1).

Proof of Lemma 3.2: Fix $e \in B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon_0}}$ and suppose that the event

$$U_e := \{e \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}\} \cap \{t(e) \geq n^\alpha\} \cap Z_0^c$$

occurs. If x_e and y_e are the endvertices of e, then there are $2d$ edge disjoint paths $\{P_i\}_{1\leq i\leq 2d}$ with endvertices x_e and y_e such that $2d-2$ of the paths have three edges, one path is the edge e and the final path has nine edges. For example in $d = 2$, it is easy to visualize the paths between the origin and the point $(1, 0)$ as $P_1 = ((0, 0), (1, 0)), P_2 = ((0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (1, 0)), P_3 = ((0, 0), (0, -1), (1, -1), (1, 0))$ and

$$P_4 = ((0, 0), (-1, 0), (-1, 1), (-1, 2), (0, 2), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 1), (2, 0), (1, 0)).$$

For a fixed $1 \leq i \leq 2d$, let $T(P_i) = \sum_{h\in P_i} t(h)$ denote the sum of passage times of edges in the path P_i. The following two properties obtain the Lemma.

$$\text{If the event } U_e \text{ occurs, then } T(P_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2} \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq 2d. \tag{3.13}$$

For any fixed $1 \leq i \leq 2d$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(T(P_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}\right) \leq \frac{C_2}{n^{2\alpha}} \tag{3.14}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ not depending on the choice of i. Using properties (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain the Lemma since

$$\mathbb{P}(U_e) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{2d}\left\{T(P_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}\right\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{2d}\mathbb{P}\left(T(P_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}\right) \leq \left(\frac{C_2}{n^{2\alpha}}\right)^{2d}$$

where the equality in the middle follows since the paths $\{P_i\}$ are edge disjoint and therefore the corresponding events are independent.

Proof of (3.13): For any finite set of edges A in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, let $\hat{T}^{(n)}(A) = \sum_{e\in A} t^{(n)}(e)$. We have that

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(A \cup B) \leq \hat{T}^{(n)}(A) + \hat{T}^{(n)}(B) \tag{3.15}$$

with equality occurring if A and B are edge disjoint.

Let Q_1 be the subpath of the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ from origin to the endvertex x_e of the edge e and let Q_2 be the subpath of $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ from the endvertex y_e of edge e to the point $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. The union $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \{e\} = \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ and since the sets in the union are edge disjoint, we have using (3.15) that

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_1) + t^{(n)}(e) + \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_2) \tag{3.16}$$

Since the event Z_0^c occurs, we have from Proposition 1 that the minimum truncated passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)} = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)})$. From (3.16) we therefore have

$$\hat{T}_n^{(n)} = \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_1) + t^{(n)}(e) + \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_2) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_1) + n^\alpha + \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_2). \tag{3.17}$$

The final equality holds since $t(e) \geq n^\alpha$ and so $t^{(n)}(e) = \min(t(e), n^\alpha) = n^\alpha$.

Suppose now that $T(P_{i_0}) < \frac{n^\alpha}{2}$ for some $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2d$. The union of the paths Q_1, Q_2 and P_{i_0} then contains a path Q_0 from the origin to $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and again using (3.15) we have

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_0) \leq \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_1) + \hat{T}^{(n)}(P_{i_0}) + \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_2).$$

Since $t^{(n)}(h) \leq t(h)$ for all edges h (see (2.1)), we have that

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(P_{i_0}) = \sum_{h\in P_{i_0}} t^{(n)}(h) \leq \sum_{h\in P_{i_0}} t(h) = T(P_{i_0}) \leq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_0) \leq \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_1) + \frac{n^\alpha}{2} + \hat{T}^{(n)}(Q_2) = \hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \frac{n^\alpha}{2}$$

where the final equality follows from (3.17). But this is a contradiction since by the definition of minimum truncated passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ in (2.3), the truncated passage time of every path with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is at least $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$. ∎

Proof of (3.14): Fix $1 \leq i \leq 2d$ and suppose $T(P_i) = \sum_{h \in P_i} t(h) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}$. Since each path P_i has at most nine edges, we have that at least one of the edges h_i of P_i has passage time $t(h_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{18}$. Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(T(P_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{h \in P_i} \left\{t(h) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{18}\right\}\right) \leq \sum_{h \in P_i} \mathbb{P}\left(t(h) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{18}\right). \quad (3.18)$$

Using Markov inequality we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(t(h) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{18}\right) \leq \frac{18^2}{n^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E}t^2(h) \leq \frac{C_3}{n^{2\alpha}}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$ not depending on h. The final estimate follows from the moment condition (ii) in Section 1. Since there are at most nine edges in any P_i, we have from (3.18) that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(T(P_i) \geq \frac{n^\alpha}{2}\right) \leq \frac{9C_3}{n^{2\alpha}} = \frac{C_4}{n^{2\alpha}}$$

for some constant $C_4 > 0$ not depending on i. ∎

Using the estimate in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have the proof of Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2: We prove (3.2) first. Substituting the estimate (3.12) into (3.11) gives

$$\mathbb{P}\left(V_n^c \cap G_n \cap Z_0^c\right) \leq \sum_{e \in B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1} n^{1+\epsilon_0}}} \frac{C}{n^{4d\alpha}} \leq \frac{C_1 n^{d+d\epsilon_0}}{n^{4d\alpha}} \quad (3.19)$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$.

Setting

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4d} \text{ and } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16d}, \quad (3.20)$$

we have

$$4d\alpha - d - d\epsilon_0 = 2d - \frac{1}{4} - d - \frac{1}{4} = d - 0.5.$$

For $d \geq 2$, we therefore have

$$\mathbb{P}(V_n^c \cap G_n \cap Z_0^c) \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{d-0.5}} \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{3/2}}.$$

Substituting the above in (3.10) gives

$$\mathbb{P}(V_n) \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{C_2}{n^{1+2\epsilon_0}} \leq \frac{C_3}{n^{1+2\epsilon_0}}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$. The final estimate above is true since $1 + 2\epsilon_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{2d} < 1 + \frac{1}{4} < \frac{3}{2}$ for all $d \geq 2$. This proves (3.2) with $2\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2d}$ as the term δ defined in the statement of the Proposition.

To prove (3.3), we use the estimate (2.4) of Lemma 2.1 to see that if W_n^c occurs then $V_{n^2}^c$ necessarily occurs. Indeed if V_{n^2} occurs i.e., if $T_{n^2} = \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}$, then using (2.4) we have

$$T_{n^2} = \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)} \leq \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n+1)^2} \leq T_{n^2}.$$

In other words, we have $\hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)} = \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n+1)^2}$ and so W_n occurs. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(W_n^c) \leq \mathbb{P}(V_{n^2}^c) \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{2+2\delta}} \tag{3.21}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$, where the final estimate follows from (3.2).

It remains to proves (3.4). We have from (3.2) and Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_n V_n\right) = 1. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.22), we have that a.e. ω, there exists $N_1(\omega) < \infty$, so that for all $n \geq N_1(\omega)$, we have $T_n = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}$. Therefore

$$J_n := \frac{1}{n}\left(T_n - \hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right) \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

To see that $\mathbb{E}J_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we show that

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}J_n^2 < \infty$$

and this proves that J_n is uniformly integrable. Using $(a-b)^2 \leq a^2 + b^2$ for positive a, b, we have

$$\mathbb{E}J_n^2 = \frac{1}{n^2}\mathbb{E}(T_n - \hat{T}_n^{(n)})^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\mathbb{E}T_n^2 + \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right)^2\right) \leq 2C \tag{3.23}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. The final estimate follows from (2.5) of Lemma 2.1. ■

4 Variance estimates for T_n and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$

In this section, we obtain variance estimates for $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ and T_n needed for L^2 convergence and the subsequence argument in the proof of Theorem 1.

We have some preliminary definitions and estimates.

Boxed passage times

To obtain the variance estimates of T_n and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$, we use the martingale difference method of Kesten (1993) with some modifications. The proof uses the Fubini's theorem for product spaces. For simplicity and to avoid measure theoretic technicalities of infinite product spaces, we consider a "boxed" version of the minimum passage times T_n and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$.

For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have from Lemma 2.4 that the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ lies inside the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{C}{n^{1+2\epsilon}}$ for some constant $C > 0$. Here $\mu = \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(e_i) \in (0, \infty)$ is as in (2.13) and $\beta_1 \in (0, \mu)$ is the constant in Lemma 2.2 and we recall that $B_m = [-m, m]^d$ is the box of side length $2m$.

Let $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_N$ be the edges of the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. Let $\Omega_N = \mathbb{R}^N$ and for $\omega \in \Omega_N$, let

$$U_n = U_n(\omega) = \min_{\pi \subset B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}} T(\pi, \omega) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\hat{U}_n^{(n)} = \hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega) = \min_{\pi \subset B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}} \hat{T}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega) \tag{4.2}$$

be the untruncated and truncated boxed minimum passage times, respectively. For $\omega \in \Omega_N$, the passage times $T(\pi, \omega) = \sum_{e \in \pi} t(e, \omega)$ and $\hat{T}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega) = \sum_{e \in \pi} t^{(n)}(e, \omega)$ are as in (1.1) and (2.2), respectively. As before we suppress the dependence on ω unless specifically mentioned.

We define U_n and $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ on the probability space $(\Omega_N, \mathcal{F}_N, \mathbb{P}_N)$ where $\mathcal{F}_N = \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\mathbb{P}_N$ is the distribution of the random variables $(t(q_1), \ldots, t(q_N))$ under the measure $\mathbb{P}$. We recall from Section 1 that $\mathbb{P}$ is the probability measure associated with the infinite sequence $(t(q_1), t(q_2), \ldots)$. For notational convenience, however, we drop the subscript from $\mathbb{P}_N$ and simply refer to it also as $\mathbb{P}$.

Fix $\omega \in \Omega_N$. Let $\gamma_n = \gamma_n(\omega) \subset B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$ be the path that attains U_n

and let $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} = \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}(\omega) \subset B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$ be the path that attains $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$; i.e.,

$$U_n(\omega) = T(\gamma_n, \omega) \text{ and } \hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}, \omega). \tag{4.3}$$

As in Section 2, we refer to γ_n and $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$ as geodesics and if there is more than one choice, we pick one according to a deterministic rule (see paragraph following the proof of Proposition 1). In what follows we obtain variance estimates for U_n and $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ and use those estimates to obtain variance estimates for T_n and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$, respectively.

The following result estimates of the length (i.e. the number of edges) of the geodesics γ_n and $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$ and is used to estimate the variances of $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ and U_n. Let $\#\gamma_n$ and $\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$ denote the number of edges in the respective paths. We have the following.

Lemma 4.1. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\#\gamma_n\right) \leq Cn \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}\right) \leq Cn \tag{4.5}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and for some positive constant C.

Proof of Proposition 4.1: Let $\mu = \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(e_i) \in (0, \infty)$ be as in (2.13) and let $\beta_1 \in (0, \mu)$ be the constant in Lemma 2.2. We prove (4.5) first and the proof is analogous for (4.4). To estimate the length of $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$, we have for any $x > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq x) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\{\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq x\} \cap \{\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) < \beta_1 x\}\right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\{\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq x\} \cap \{\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \geq \beta_1 x\}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\{\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq x\} \cap \{\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) < \beta_1 x\}\right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \geq \beta_1 x\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\hat{T}^n(\gamma)$ is the truncated passage time of a path γ as defined in (2.2).

To estimate the second term above, we have that

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}\left(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t^{(n)}(f_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t(f_i),$$

where as before f_i denotes the edge between $(i-1,0,\ldots,0)$ and $(i,0,\ldots,0)$. To prove the first inequality, we argue as follows. The middle term in the above expression is the passage time of the the straight line joining the origin and $(n,0,\ldots,0)$ and this straight line is contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. The left most term is the minimum passage time among all paths with endvertices origin and $(n,0,\ldots,0)$ contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. This proves the first inequality. The second inequality holds since $t^{(n)}(e) \leq t(e)$ for all edges e (see (2.1)).

As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we set $X_i = t(f_i) - \mathbb{E}t(f_i)$ and have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \geq \beta_1 x\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t(f_i) \geq \beta_1 x\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i \geq \beta_1 x - \mu n\right),$$

where the final estimate follows from the fact that $\mathbb{E}t(f_i) \leq \mu$. Now for $\beta_1 x = 8\mu m$ and $m \geq n$ an integer, we have

$$\beta_1 x - \mu n = 8\mu m - \mu n \geq 7\mu m.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \geq \beta_1 x\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i \geq 7\mu m\right)$$

and using Markov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \geq \beta_1 x\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right)^2}{(7\mu m)^2} \leq \frac{C_3 n}{(7\mu m)^2} = \frac{C_4 n}{m^2}, \tag{4.7}$$

for all $m \geq n$ and for some positive constants C_3 and C_4. The second inequality above follows from (2.44).

We now estimate the first term. Suppose now that the event in the first term of (4.6) occurs with $\beta_1 x = 8\mu m$, for some $m \geq n$. This implies that there exists a path $\pi(= \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)})$ containing $r \geq x = \frac{8\mu}{\beta_1} m$ edges with truncated passage time $\hat{T}^{(n)}(\pi) < \beta_1 x \leq \beta_1 r$. In particular, the event $E_m(n)$ defined in (2.29) occurs and so for $m \geq n$ we have using (2.30) that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\{\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1} m\} \cap \{\hat{T}^{(n)}(\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) < 8\mu m\}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}(E_m(n)) \leq C_2 e^{-\beta_2 m} \tag{4.8}$$

where $C_2, \beta_2 > 0$ are as in (2.30).

Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.6) gives

$$\mathbb{P}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}m) \leq \frac{C_4 n}{m^2} + C_2 e^{-\beta_2 m}. \tag{4.9}$$

for all $m \geq n$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}}\mathbb{E}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) &\leq \sum_{m\geq 0}\mathbb{P}(\#\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}m) \\
&= (\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} + \sum_{m\geq n})\mathbb{P}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}m) \\
&\leq n + \sum_{m\geq n}\mathbb{P}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}m) \\
&\leq n + \sum_{m\geq n}\frac{C_4 n}{m^2} + \sum_{m\geq n} C_2 e^{-\beta_2 m}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{m\geq n}\frac{C_4 n}{m^2} \leq C_5 \text{ and } \sum_{m\geq n} C_2 e^{-\beta_2 m} \leq C_6 e^{-\beta_2 n}$$

for some positive constants C_5 and C_6. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}}\mathbb{E}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \leq n + C_5 + C_6 e^{-\beta_2 n} \leq 2n$$

for all n large. ∎

4.1 Variance Estimates

We recall that the boxed passage time $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ is the minimum of all passage times of paths contained in the box B_m, where $m = 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}$. Here $\mu = \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(e_i) \in (0,\infty)$ is as in (2.13) and $\beta_1 \in (0,\mu)$ is the constant in Lemma 2.2. We have the following result regarding the variance of the boxed passage times.

Lemma 4.2. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{U}_n^{(n)})^2 \leq C_1 n \tag{4.10}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and some constant $C_1 > 0$. Setting $\epsilon = 3$ and using (4.10), we also have that

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)})^2 \leq C_2 n \tag{4.11}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and some constant $C_2 > 0$.

Set $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ and for integer $1 \leq i \leq N$, set

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(t^{(n)}(q_l) : 1 \leq l \leq i)$$

to be the sigma field generated by the truncated passage time of the edges $\{q_l\}_{1 \leq l \leq i}$. For $1 \leq l \leq N$, let

$$X_l := \mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)} | \mathcal{F}_l) - \mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)} | \mathcal{F}_{l-1}). \tag{4.12}$$

There is a finite path $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} \subset B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$ whose passage time is $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$. The following estimate regarding X_l^2 is used in the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. *For $1 \leq l \leq N$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_l^2 | \mathcal{F}_{l-1}) \leq C_1 \mathbb{P}(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)} | \mathcal{F}_{l-1}) \text{ a.s.} \tag{4.13}$$

for some positive constant C_1 not depending on l or n.

Proof of Lemma 4.3: Fix $1 \leq l \leq N$. For $1 \leq j \leq N$, let $\nu_j(.)$ denote the probability measure associated with the random vector

$$(t^{(n)}(q_j), t^{(n)}(q_{j+1}), \ldots, t^{(n)}(q_N)).$$

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N)$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_N) \in \Omega_N$ and define

$$\omega_a = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_l, \sigma_{l+1}, \sigma_{l+2}, \ldots, \sigma_N) \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\omega_b = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{l-1}, \sigma_l, \sigma_{l+2}, \ldots, \sigma_N). \tag{4.15}$$

If $l = N$, then $\omega_a = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N)$ and if $l = 1$, then $\omega_b = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N)$. In the notation of Kesten (1993), $\omega_a = [\omega, \sigma]_l$ and $\omega_b = [\omega, \sigma]_{l-1}$.

We introduce the following temporary notation. For any fixed path γ, we let $a(\gamma) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma, \omega_a)$ and $b(\gamma) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma, \omega_b)$ be the truncated passage times of the path γ for the configurations ω_a and ω_b, respectively. Let $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_a) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma_a, \omega_a) = a(\gamma_a)$ be the value of the minimum boxed passage time $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ for

realization ω_a as defined in (4.1) and γ_a be the geodesic with the minimum boxed passage time.

Throughout this proof we only consider paths that are completely contained in the box B_m where $m = 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}$. We have using Fubini's theorem that

$$X_l = X_l(\omega) = \int \nu_l(d\sigma)W_l \quad \text{a.e. } \omega \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$W_l := \hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_a) - \hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_b) = a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b) \tag{4.17}$$

We find a good estimate on W_l as follows. If the edge q_l does not belong to the geodesic γ_a and does not belong to γ_b, then $\gamma_a = \gamma_b$ and $a(\gamma_a) = b(\gamma_b)$. Therefore,

$$|a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b)| = |a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b)|\mathbf{1}\left(\{q_l \in \gamma_a\} \cup \{q_l \in \gamma_b\}\right). \tag{4.18}$$

For any fixed path γ, we have that $a(\gamma) = b(\gamma)$ if $q_l \notin \gamma$ since the passage times of any path not containing the edge q_l are the same in both configurations. Similarly if $q_l \in \gamma$, then

$$|a(\gamma) - b(\gamma)| = |Y_a - Y_b|, \tag{4.19}$$

where $Y_a = t^{(n)}(q_l, \omega_a)$ and $Y_b = t^{(n)}(q_l, \omega_b)$ denote the truncated passage times of the edge q_l in the respective configurations ω_a and ω_b. In particular,

$$|a(\gamma) - b(\gamma)| \leq |Y_a - Y_b| \tag{4.20}$$

for any finite path γ. Writing $a(\gamma) \leq b(\gamma) + |Y_a - Y_b|$ and taking minimum over all finite paths contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$ and using the fact that γ_a and γ_b are the minimum boxed passage times for ω_a and ω_b, respectively, we have that $a(\gamma_a) \leq b(\gamma_b) + |Y_a - Y_b|$. Similarly, using the other inequality in (4.20) and taking minimum again, we get $b(\gamma_b) \leq a(\gamma_a) + |Y_a - Y_b|$. Thus we have

$$|a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b)| \leq |Y_a - Y_b|.$$

Substituting the above in (4.18) gives

$$|a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b)| \leq |Y_a - Y_b|\mathbf{1}(A_a \cup A_b), \tag{4.21}$$

where $A_a = \{q_l \in \gamma_a\}$ and $A_b = \{q_l \in \gamma_b\}$.

We improve the estimate (4.21) to obtain

$$|a(\pi_a) - b(\pi_b)| \leq Y_b \mathbf{1}(Y_b > Y_a)\mathbf{1}(A_a) + Y_a \mathbf{1}(Y_a \geq Y_b)\mathbf{1}(A_b). \tag{4.22}$$

Proof of (4.22): We assume $Y_a \geq Y_b$ and obtain the second term. An analogous analysis holds for the first term. If $Y_a = t^{(n)}(q_l, \omega_a) \geq t^{(n)}(q_l, \omega_b) = Y_b$ then

$$|Y_a - Y_b| = Y_a - Y_b \leq Y_a.$$

Moreover if $\mathbf{1}(A_a \cup A_b) = 1$ also holds, then necessarily $A_b = \{q_l \in \gamma_b\}$ occurs. This is true if $Y_a = Y_b$ since then both the configurations are identical. In what follows we assume that $Y_a > Y_b$ strictly. Roughly speaking, lowering the passage time of the edge q_l from Y_a in the "old" configuration ω_a (with geodesic γ_a) to Y_b in the "new" configuration ω_b (with geodesic γ_b), improves the chances of q_l belonging to the geodesic γ_b of the new configuration.

More formally, suppose the event $A_a \cup A_b$ holds. If A_a does not occur, then the event A_b necessarily occurs and we are done. Suppose now that the event A_a occurs. We then have that the edge q_l belongs to the geodesic π_a of the old configuration ω_a. Also the passage time Y_a of q_l in ω_a is strictly larger than the passage time Y_b in the new configuration ω_b and the passage time of every other edge remains the same in both configurations. We therefore have that

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma_a, \omega_b) = \sum_{e \in \gamma_a} t^{(n)}(e, \omega_b) < \sum_{e \in \gamma_a} t^{(n)}(e, \omega_a) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma_a, \omega_a). \tag{4.23}$$

In words, the truncated passage time of the path γ_a in the new configuration ω_b is strictly less than the passage time in the old configuration ω_a.

The final term in (4.23) is the minimum boxed passage time $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_a)$ in the old configuration ω_a. In particular, this means that the minimum boxed passage time $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_b)$ in the new configuration ω_b is strictly less than the minimum boxed passage time $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_a)$ in the old configuration ω_a. Therefore

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma_b, \omega_b) = \hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_b) < \hat{U}_n^{(n)}(\omega_a) \leq \hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma_b, \omega_a)$$

where the final estimate follows from the definition of minimum boxed passage time in (4.1).

The above estimate necessarily means that $q_l \in \gamma_b$ since for any fixed path γ not containing the edge q_l we have that the passage time of γ in both

the configurations remains the same; i.e.,

$$\hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma, \omega_a) = \sum_{e \in \gamma} t^{(n)}(e, \omega_a) = \sum_{e \in \pi} t^{(n)}(e, \omega_b) = \hat{T}^{(n)}(\gamma, \omega_b).$$

This proves the second term in (4.22). The proof for the first term is analogous. ∎

Squaring both sides of (4.22) gives

$$|a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b)|^2 \leq Y_b^2 \mathbf{1}(Y_b > Y_a)\mathbf{1}(A_a) + Y_a^2 \mathbf{1}(Y_a \geq Y_b)\mathbf{1}(A_b). \tag{4.24}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (4.16), we first for a.e. ω that

$$X_l^2 \leq \int \nu_l(d\sigma) W_l^2 \leq \int \nu_l(d\sigma)|a(\gamma_a) - b(\gamma_b)|^2 \leq 2Z_1 + 2Z_2, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$Z_1 = \int \nu_l(d\sigma) Y_b^2 \mathbf{1}(Y_b > Y_a)\mathbf{1}(A_a) \text{ and } Z_2 = \int \nu_l(d\sigma) Y_a^2 \mathbf{1}(Y_a \geq Y_b)\mathbf{1}(A_b).$$

Taking conditional expectation w.r.t $\mathcal{F}_{l-1}$ we have for a.e. ω that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_l^2|\mathcal{F}_{l-1}) \leq 2\mathbb{E}(Z_1|\mathcal{F}_{l-1}) + 2\mathbb{E}(Z_2|\mathcal{F}_{l-1}). \tag{4.26}$$

We estimate the two terms above separately. By Fubini's theorem we first have for a.e. ω that

$$\int \nu_l(d\sigma)\mathbf{1}(A_a) = S_a \text{ and } \int \nu_l(d\sigma)\mathbf{1}(A_b) = S_b. \tag{4.27}$$

where

$$S_a = S_a(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_l) = \mathbb{P}\left(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_l\right)$$

and

$$S_b = S_b(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{l-1}) = \mathbb{P}\left(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_{l-1}\right).$$

By property of conditional expectation, we also have that

$$\mathbb{E}(S_a|\mathcal{F}_{l-1}) = S_b \text{ a.e. } \omega. \tag{4.28}$$

To evaluate Z_1 we recall that the configurations ω_a and ω_b defined in (4.14) and (4.15), respectively. The edge passage time $Y_b = t^{(n)}(q_l, \omega_b)$ depends

only on the variable σ_l and the term $\mathbf{1}(A_a) = \mathbf{1}(A_a)(\omega_a)$ does not depend on σ_l since $\omega_a = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_l, \sigma_{l+1}, \ldots, \sigma_N)$. Letting μ_l denote the probability measure associated with $t^{(n)}(q_l, \sigma_l)$, we therefore have for a.e. ω that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \int \nu_l(d\sigma) Y_b^2 \mathbf{1}(Y_b > Y_a) \mathbf{1}(A_a) \\ &\leq \int \nu_l(d\sigma) Y_b^2(\sigma_l) \mathbf{1}(A_a) \\ &= \int \mu_l(d\sigma_l) Y_b^2(\sigma_l) \int \nu_{l+1}(d\sigma) \mathbf{1}(A_a). \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Using (4.27), we have that the second term in (4.29) is

$$\int \nu_{l+1}(d\sigma)\mathbf{1}(A_a) = \int \nu_l(d\sigma)\mathbf{1}(A_a) = S_a(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_l).$$

The middle equality is true since $\mathbf{1}(A_a)(\omega_a)$ does not depend on the variable σ_l.

The first term in (4.29) is

$$\int \mu_l(d\sigma_l) Y_b^2(\sigma_l) = \mathbb{E}\left(t^{(n)}(q_l)\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}t^2(q_l) \leq \sup_i \mathbb{E}t^2(q_i) \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$. The first inequality follows from the fact that $t^{(n)}(q_l) \leq t(q_l)$ for any $n \geq 1$ (see (2.1)) and the final inequality is true by the moment condition (ii) in Section 1. Thus

$$Z_1 \leq C S_a(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_l) \tag{4.30}$$

and taking conditional expectations and using (4.28) we have a.e. ω that

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_1 | \mathcal{F}_{l-1}) \leq C S_b = C\mathbb{P}(q_l \in \hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} | \mathcal{F}_{l-1}). \tag{4.31}$$

An analogous argument holds for Z_2 in (4.25); we note that $Y_a = Y_a(\omega_l) = t^{(n)}(q_l, \omega_l)$ is independent of $\mathbf{1}(A_b) = \mathbf{1}(A_b)(\omega_b)$ since $\omega_b = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_l, \sigma_{l+1}, \ldots, \sigma_N)$. Therefore a.e. ω we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 &= \int \nu_l(d\sigma) Y_a^2 \mathbf{1}(Y_a \geq Y_b) \mathbf{1}(A_b) \\ &\leq \int \nu_l(d\sigma) Y_a^2(\omega_l) \mathbf{1}(A_b) \\ &= Y_a^2(\omega_l) \int \nu_l(d\sigma) \mathbf{1}(A_b) \\ &= Y_a^2(\omega_l) S_b(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{l-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (4.27).

Again taking conditional expectation w.r.t $\mathcal{F}_{l-1}$ gives a.e. ω that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Z_2|\mathcal{F}_{l-1}) &\leq \int \nu_l(d\omega)Y_a^2(\omega_l)S_b(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{l-1}) \\ &= S_b(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{l-1})\int \nu_l(d\omega)Y_a^2(\omega_l). \qquad (4.32)\end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows since S_b depends only on $\{\omega_i\}_{1\leq i\leq l-1}$ and the term under the integral is estimated as

$$\int \nu_l(d\omega)Y_a^2(\omega_l) = \mathbb{E}\left(t^{(n)}(q_l)\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}t^2(q_l) \leq C_3$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. The first inequality above is true since $t^{(n)}(q_l) \leq t(q_l)$ for any fixed $n \geq 1$. The final estimate follows from the moment condition (ii) in Section 1.

Using (4.31) and (4.32) in (4.26) gives the required estimate in (4.13). ∎

Proof of Lemma 4.2: We first prove (4.10). We recall that N denotes the number of edges in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. From the definition of $X_l, 1 \leq l \leq N$ in (4.12) we have that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} X_l = \mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_N) - \mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_0) = \hat{U}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{U}_n^{(n)} \qquad (4.33)$$

since $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ defined in (4.2) is $\mathcal{F}_N-$measurable.

By the martingale property, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N} X_l\right)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}X_l^2. \qquad (4.34)$$

Proof of (4.34): We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N} X_l\right)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}X_l^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=i+1}^{N}\mathbb{E}X_iX_j. \qquad (4.35)$$

If $j \geq i+1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X_iX_j) = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}(X_iX_j|\mathcal{F}_i) = \mathbb{E}\left(X_i\mathbb{E}(X_j|\mathcal{F}_i)\right).$$

The final equality follows from the definition of X_l in (4.12) where we see that X_l is $\mathcal{F}_l-$ measurable since $\mathcal{F}_{l-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_l$ for all $1 \leq l \leq N$. We therefore have that if $j \geq i+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X_j|\mathcal{F}_i) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_j)|\mathcal{F}_i\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_{j-1})|\mathcal{F}_i\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_i) - \mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}|\mathcal{F}_i) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above into (4.35) gives (4.34). ∎

From (4.34) and (4.33), we thus have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{U}_n^{(n)})^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}X_l^2.$$

Using the estimate (4.13) for X_l^2, we have for $1 \leq l \leq N$ that

$$\mathbb{E}X_l^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(X_l^2|\mathcal{F}_{l-1})\right) \leq C_1\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{P}(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}|F_{l-1})\right) = C_1\mathbb{P}(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)})$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{U}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{U}_n^{(n)})^2 \leq C_1 \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathbb{P}(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) = C_1\mathbb{E}\sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}(q_l \in \hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) = C_1\mathbb{E}(\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}) \tag{4.36}$$

where $\mathbf{1}(.)$ refers to the indicator function and $\#\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$ refers to the number of edges in the path $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$. In Lemma 4.1 we have estimated the number of edges in $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(n)}$ to be at most a constant multiple of n (see estimate (4.5) of Lemma 4.1). Substituting (4.5) into the above expression, we have the estimate (4.10) of the Lemma.

To obtain estimate (4.11), we first have a small observation. For any two random variables X and Y we have

$$var(X+Y) = \mathbb{E}\left((X - \mathbb{E}X) + (Y - \mathbb{E}Y)\right)^2.$$

Using $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2+b^2)$ for any two real numbers a and b, we have $var(X+Y) \leq 2(var(X)+var(Y))$. Letting $X = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ and $Y = \hat{U}_n^{(n)}$, we have

$$var\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right) \leq 2var\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \hat{U}_n^{(n)}\right) + 2var\left(\hat{U}_n^{(n)}\right). \tag{4.37}$$

The second term in (4.37) is at most $2C_1 n$ using (4.10). To estimate the first term we recall that the term $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ is the minimum passage time of all paths contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. Setting $\epsilon = 3$ we have

$$var\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \hat{U}_n^{(n)}\right) \leq \frac{C_2}{n^4} \tag{4.38}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ and all $n \geq 1$. From (4.37), we therefore have that

$$var\left(\hat{T}_n^{(n)}\right) \leq 2\frac{C_2}{n^4} + 2C_1 n \leq C_3 n$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$. This proves (4.11) of the Lemma.

Proof of (4.38): Let

$$\hat{H}_n = \left\{\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)} \subseteq B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}\right\}$$

be the event that every edge of the geodesic $\hat{\pi}_n^{(n)}$ for the truncated passage time $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ is contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. From the definition of the passage times $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ and $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ we have that

$$\hat{U}_n^{(n)}\mathbf{1}(\hat{H}_n) = \hat{T}_n^{(n)}\mathbf{1}(\hat{H}_n). \tag{4.39}$$

Letting $\hat{Z}_n = \hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \hat{U}_n^{(n)}$, we have

$$var(\hat{Z}_n) \leq \mathbb{E}(\hat{Z}_n)^2 = \mathbb{E}(\hat{Z}_n)^2\mathbf{1}(\hat{H}_n^c). \tag{4.40}$$

We have that

$$|\hat{Z}_n| \leq \hat{T}_n^{(n)} + \hat{U}_n^{(n)} \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{(n)}(f_i) \leq 2n^{1+\alpha}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is as in (2.1). The second inequality is true because the terms $\hat{T}_n^{(n)}$ and $\hat{U}_n^{(n)}$ are each no more than the truncated passage time of the straight line with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. The final estimate is true since $t^{(n)}(e) \leq n^\alpha$ for any edge e, by definition (see (2.1)). Thus from (4.40) we have

$$var(\hat{Z}_n) \leq 4n^{2+2\alpha}\mathbb{P}(\hat{H}_n^c) \leq n^{2+2\alpha}\frac{C_2}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} = \frac{C_2}{n^{2\epsilon-2\alpha-1}}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ where the second inequality follows from (2.39) of Lemma 2.4. From the relation (3.20), we have that $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Setting $\epsilon = 3$, we therefore have $2\epsilon - 2\alpha - 1 > 2\epsilon - 2 = 4$. This proves (4.38). ∎

We have an analogous result for the variance of the untruncated random variable U_n and T_n with some minor differences.

Lemma 4.4. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{E}(U_n - \mathbb{E}U_n)^2 \leq C_1 n \tag{4.41}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and some constant $C_1 > 0$. If the uniform integrability condition $(ii)(a)$ of Section 1 holds, then setting $\epsilon = 3$ and using (4.41) we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{T_n}{n} - \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n}\right)^2 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.42}$$

as $n \to \infty$. If the condition $(ii)(b)$ of Section 1 holds, then setting $\epsilon = \frac{3}{p-2} + 1$ and using (4.41) we have

$$\mathbb{E}(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n)^2 \leq Cn \tag{4.43}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$.

Thus if the passage times have a bounded p^{th} moment for some $p > 2$, we have that the variance of the passage time T_n is at most a constant multiple of n.

Proof of Lemma 4.4: The proof of (4.41) is analogous to (4.10). We use the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.2. We set $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, set

$$\mathcal{G}_i = \sigma(t(q_l) : 1 \leq l \leq i)$$

to be the sigma field generated by the untruncated passage time of the edges $\{q_l\}_{1 \leq l \leq i}$. For $1 \leq l \leq N$, we reuse notation of Lemma 4.3 and let

$$X_l := \mathbb{E}(U_n|\mathcal{G}_l) - \mathbb{E}(U_n|\mathcal{G}_{l-1}). \tag{4.44}$$

We then have $\sum_{l=1}^{N} X_l = U_n - \mathbb{E}U_n$ and using the martingale property as in (4.34), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N} X_l\right)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}X_l^2$$

and so

$$\mathbb{E}(U_n - \mathbb{E}U_n)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}X_l^2. \tag{4.45}$$

As in (4.13), we have the following estimate regarding X_l^2. We have

$$\mathbb{E}(X_l^2|\mathcal{G}_{l-1}) \leq C_2\mathbb{P}(e_l \in \gamma_n|\mathcal{G}_{l-1}) \text{ a.s.} \tag{4.46}$$

for some positive constant C_2 not depending on l. Using the above and proceeding as in the derivation of (4.36), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(U_n - \mathbb{E}U_n)^2 \leq C_2\mathbb{E}\left(\#\gamma_n\right),$$

where $\#\gamma_n$ denotes the number of edges in the geodesic π_n. Substituting the estimate (4.4) of Lemma 4.1, we have (4.41).

To see (4.42), we proceed as in the case of (4.11). As in (4.37), we have

$$var(T_n) \leq 2var(T_n - U_n) + 2var(U_n) \leq 2var(T_n - U_n) + 2C_1 n \tag{4.47}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$ and all $n \geq 1$, where the final estimate follows using (4.41). Set $\epsilon = 3$. For a fixed $\eta > 0$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(\eta) \geq 1$ so that

$$var\left(T_n - U_n\right) \leq 2n^2\eta \tag{4.48}$$

for all $n \geq N_0(\eta)$. Thus

$$var(T_n) \leq 2C_1 n + n^2\eta \leq 2n^2\eta$$

for all $n \geq N_1(\eta)$. Since $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, this proves (4.42) of the Lemma.

Proof of (4.48): Analogous to the proof of (4.38), we let

$$H_n = \left\{\pi_n \subseteq B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}\right\}$$

be the event that every edge of the geodesic π_n for the passage time T_n is contained in the box $B_{8\mu\beta_1^{-1}n^{1+\epsilon}}$. From the definition of the passage times U_n and T_n we have that

$$U_n\mathbf{1}(H_n) = T_n\mathbf{1}(H_n). \tag{4.49}$$

Letting $Z_n = T_n - U_n$, we have

$$var(Z_n) \leq \mathbb{E}Z_n^2 = \mathbb{E}Z_n^2\mathbf{1}(H_n^c). \tag{4.50}$$

We have that

$$|Z_n| \leq T_n + U_n \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) =: Q_n$$

since the terms U_n and T_n are each no more than the passage time of the straight line with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We recall that for $i \geq 1$, the edge f_i is the edge joining $(i-1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(i, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We therefore have from (4.50) that

$$var(Z_n) \leq \mathbb{E}Z_n^2 \mathbf{1}(H_n^c) \leq \mathbb{E}Q_n^2 \mathbf{1}(H_n^c) \leq 4n\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c). \tag{4.51}$$

For the final estimate, we use $(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i)^2 \leq k\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2$ for positive a_i and have that $Q_n^2 \leq 4n\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^2(f_i)$. We evaluate each term in the above sum separately.

For a fixed $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c) = \mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c \cap \{t(f_i) \geq n^\theta\}) + \mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c \cap \{t(f_i) < n^\theta\}) \tag{4.52}$$

for some constant $\theta > 0$ to be determined later. The second term is bounded above by

$$n^{2\theta}\mathbb{P}(H_n^c \cap \{t(f_i) < n^\theta\}) \leq n^{2\theta}\mathbb{P}(H_n^c) \leq n^{2\theta}\frac{C}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} = \frac{C}{n^{2\epsilon-2\theta+1}} \tag{4.53}$$

where the final estimate is obtained using (2.39) of Lemma 2.4.

Setting $\theta = 1$, the first term in (4.52) is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(t(f_i) \geq n) = \mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(t^2(f_i) \geq n^2) < \eta \tag{4.54}$$

for all $n \geq N_0$. Here $N_0 = N_0(\eta)$ depends only on $\eta > 0$ and not on the choice of i. The final estimate is true by the uniform integrability condition $(ii)(a)$ of Section 1. Adding (4.53) and (4.54) and using (4.52) gives

$$\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c) \leq \frac{C}{n^{2\epsilon-1}} + \eta \tag{4.55}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $n \geq N_0$.

Substituting the above estimate into (4.51) and setting $\epsilon = 3$, we have

$$var(Z_n) \leq 4\frac{n^2 C}{n^{2\epsilon-1}} + 4n^2\eta = 4\frac{C}{n^{2\epsilon-3}} + 4n^2\eta \leq 4\frac{C}{n^3} + 4n^2\eta \leq 5n^2\eta.$$

This proves (4.42). ∎

To prove (4.43), we proceed as in the case of (4.42). Set $\epsilon = \frac{3}{p-2} + 1$. We have that

$$var\,(T_n - U_n) \leq \frac{C}{n} \tag{4.56}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and some constant $C > 0$. Substituting into (4.47), we have that

$$var(T_n) \leq 2C_1 n + \frac{C}{n} \leq 3C_1 n$$

for all n large and some constant $C_1 > 0$. This proves (4.43) of the Lemma. *Proof of (4.56)*: The proof proceeds as in (4.48) until (4.54). In particular (4.53) holds and instead of (4.54), we have

$$\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(t(f_i) \geq n^\theta) = \mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(t(f_i) \geq n^\theta) \leq (\mathbb{E}t^p(f_i))^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\mathbb{P}(t(f_i) \geq n^\theta)\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \tag{4.57}$$

where the final estimate follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Using the moment condition $(ii)(b)$, we have that $(\mathbb{E}t^p(f_i))^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C_1$ for some constant $C_1 > 0$. Also

$$\left(\mathbb{P}(t(f_i) \geq n^\theta)\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}t^p(f_i)}{n^{p\theta}}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \leq \frac{C}{n^{\theta(p-2)}}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. The first estimate above follows using Markov inequality and the final estimate follows from the moment condition $(ii)(b)$ of Section 1.

Setting $\theta = \frac{3}{p-2}$ we have from (4.57) that $\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(t(f_i) \geq n^\theta) \leq \frac{C_2}{n^3}$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$ and setting $\epsilon = \theta + 1$ we have from (4.53) that

$$\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c \cap \{t(f_i) < n^\theta\}) \leq \frac{C}{n^3}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}t^2(f_i)\mathbf{1}(H_n^c) \leq \frac{C}{n^3} + \frac{C_2}{n^3} = \frac{C_3}{n^3}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $n \geq N_0$ large. Substituting the above estimate into (4.51) we have $var(Z_n) \leq 4\frac{n^2 C_3}{n^3} = 4\frac{C_3}{n}$. This proves (4.56). ∎

5 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

Proof of (1.3) of Theorem 1: We first prove a.s. convergence using a subsequence argument. From Proposition 2, it suffices to prove that $\frac{S_n}{n}$ converges to zero a.s. as $n \to \infty$, where

$$S_n = \hat{T}_n^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n^{(n)}.$$

To prove that, we use a subsequence argument as follows. From Lemma 4.2, we have that $\mathbb{E}S_n^2 \leq Cn$ for some constant $C > 0$. Thus for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{P}(|S_{n^2}| > n^2\epsilon) \leq \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E}S_{n^2}^2}{\epsilon^2 n^4} \leq \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{C}{\epsilon^2 n^2} < \infty.$$

Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have by Borel-Cantelli Lemma that

$$\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{5.1}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

We now set

$$D_{n^2} = \max_{n^2 \leq k < (n+1)^2} |S_k - S_{n^2}| \tag{5.2}$$

and estimate D_{n^2} as follows. For $n^2 \leq k < (n+1)^2$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |S_k - S_{n^2}| &\leq |\hat{T}_k^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}| + \mathbb{E}|\hat{T}_k^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}| \\ &\leq |\hat{T}_k^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)}| + |\hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}| \\ &\qquad + \mathbb{E}|\hat{T}_k^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)}| + \mathbb{E}|\hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

From (2.6) in Lemma 2.1 we have

$$|\hat{T}_k^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)}| \leq k^\alpha(k - n^2) \leq (n+1)^{2\alpha}((n+1)^2 - n^2) \leq C_1 n^{1+2\alpha}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. The second estimate holds since $k < (n+1)^2$. Substituting the above estimate into (5.3), we obtain that

$$|S_k - S_{n^2}| \leq 2C_1 n^{1+2\alpha} + |\hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}| + \mathbb{E}|\hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)}|. \tag{5.4}$$

To estimate the remaining terms, we use estimate (2.4) of Lemma 2.1 to obtain that

$$0 \leq \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(k)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)} \leq \hat{T}_{n^2}^{((n+1)^2)} - \hat{T}_{n^2}^{(n^2)} =: I_{n^2}$$

since $n^2 \le k < (n+1)^2$. Thus letting D_{n^2} as in (5.2), we have that

$$\frac{D_{n^2}}{n^2} \le \frac{2C_1}{n^{1-2\alpha}} + \frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}I_{n^2}}{n^2}.$$

We claim that $\frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2} \to 0$ a.s. and that $\frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2}$ is uniformly integrable. Assuming the claims for the moment, we then have $\frac{\mathbb{E}I_{n^2}}{n^2} \to 0$ and since $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ (see (3.20)), we get that $\frac{D_{n^2}}{n^2} \longrightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Also for $n^2 \le k < (n+1)^2$, we have that

$$\frac{|S_k|}{k} \le \frac{|S_k - S_{n^2}|}{k} + \frac{|S_{n^2}|}{k} \le \frac{|S_k - S_{n^2}|}{n^2} + \frac{|S_{n^2}|}{n^2} \le \frac{D_{n^2}}{n^2} + \frac{|S_{n^2}|}{n^2}.$$

This proves that the original sequence $\frac{S_k}{k} \to 0$ a.s. as $k \to \infty$.

To prove the two claims regarding I_{n^2}, we use the fact that

$$\hat{T}^{((n+1)^2)}_{n^2}\mathbf{1}(W_n) = \hat{T}^{(n^2)}_{n^2}\mathbf{1}(W_n)$$

where $W_n = \{T^{(n^2)}_{n^2} = T^{((n+1)^2)}_{n^2}\}$ is the event defined in (3.1). From (3.3) of Lemma 2 and Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have that $\mathbb{P}(\liminf_n W_n) = 1$. Since $I_{n^2} = I_{n^2}\mathbf{1}(W_n^c)$, we get that $\frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2} \to 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$.

To prove the uniform integrability of $\frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2}$, we note that

$$0 \le I_{n^2} \le \hat{T}^{((n+1)^2)}_{n^2} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} t^{((n+1)^2)}(f_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} t(f_i)$$

where as before f_i denotes the edge from $(i-1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ to $(i, 0, \ldots, 0)$. From (2.5) we therefore have

$$\mathbb{E}I^2_{n^2} \le \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n^2} t(f_i)\right)^2 \le Cn^4$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and so $\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2}\right)^2 \le C$ for all $n \ge 1$. This implies that $\frac{I_{n^2}}{n^2}$ is uniformly integrable as desired. This proves the a.s. convergence in (1.3).

To prove convergence in L^1, it is enough to see that $\frac{T_n}{n}$ is uniformly integrable which follows from estimate (2.5) of Lemma 2.1. Suppose now

that the integrability condition $(ii)(a)$ holds, then we have from Lemma 4.4 that $\frac{1}{n}(T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n)$ converges to zero in L^2. ∎

Proof of (1.5) of Theorem 1: For the upper bound, we use (1.3) and obtain a.s. that $\limsup_n \frac{T_n}{n} = \limsup_n \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n}$. But $T_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n t(f_i)$ since the second term is the passage time of the straight line with endvertices origin and $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Thus $\mathbb{E}T_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}t(f_i) \leq \mu n$ where $\mu = \sup_i \mathbb{E}t(e_i) \in (0, \infty)$ is as in (2.13). Thus $\limsup_n \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n} \leq \mu < \infty$. This proves the upper bound in (1.5).

For the lower bound in (1.5), we again use (1.3) and obtain a.s. that $\liminf \frac{T_n}{n} = \liminf \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n}$. It therefore suffices to see that the second term is positive. We proceed as follows. Suppose that the complement of the event E_k defined in (2.27) occurs for $k = k(n) = [\beta_1(8\mu)^{-1}n]$. Here $\beta_1 \in (0, \mu)$ is the constant in Lemma 2.2 and $[x]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x. By our choice of k we have

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}k \leq n \tag{5.5}$$

for all large n. We then have that every path containing $r \geq 8\mu\beta_1^{-1}k$ edges has passage time at least $\beta_1 r \geq 8\mu k \geq \frac{\beta_1}{2}n$, where the final estimate follows from (5.5). Again using (5.5), we have that every path containing at least n edges has passage time at least $\frac{\beta_1}{2}n$.

Let Z_0 be the null set in Proposition 1 so that for all $\omega \in Z_0^c$, finite paths attain the minimum passage time T_n. Fix $\omega \in Z_0^c$ and let π_n be the path whose passage time $T(\pi_n) = T_n$ (see (1.1)). The path π_n has at least n edges and so if $Z_0^c \cap E_k^c$ occurs, then by the discussion in the previous paragraph, we have $T_n \geq \frac{\beta_1}{2}n$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}T_n \geq \mathbb{E}T_n\mathbf{1}(Z_0^c \cap E_k^c) \geq \frac{\beta_1}{2}n\mathbb{P}(Z_0^c \cap E_k^c) = \frac{\beta_1}{2}n\mathbb{P}(E_k^c) \geq \frac{\beta_1}{2}n(1 - C_2e^{-\beta_2 k}),$$

where $C_2, \beta_2 > 0$ are as in (2.28). Using (5.5) we have

$$1 - C_2e^{-\beta_2 k} \geq 1 - C_2e^{-\beta_3 n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for some constant $\beta_3 > 0$ and for all n large. Thus $\mathbb{E}T_n \geq \frac{\beta_1}{4}n$ for all n large and thus $\liminf_n \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n} \geq \frac{\beta_1}{4} > 0$. This proves the lower bound in (1.5). ∎

Proof of Corollary 1: We show that $\frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n} \to \mu_F$ for some constant $\mu_F > 0$. First, using the version of subadditivity (2.6) for T_n we have

$$\mathbb{E}T_{n+m} \leq \mathbb{E}T_n + \mathbb{E}T_{n,m+n} = \mathbb{E}T_n + \mathbb{E}T_m, \tag{5.6}$$

where the final equality follows from translational invariance. As in the proof of (2.6), the term $T_{n,m+n}$ is the minimum passage time between the vertices $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(m+n, 0, \ldots, 0)$. From (5.6) and Fekete's Lemma we have that

$$\lim_n \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n} = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E}T_n}{n} =: \mu_F. \tag{5.7}$$

From (1.5) of Theorem 1.3, we have that $\mu_F > 0$. ■

Acknowledgements

I thank Professors Rahul Roy, Thomas Mountford, Siva Athreya and Federico Camia for crucial comments and for fellowships. I also thank NBHM and ISF-UGC for my fellowships.

References

[1] K. S. Alexander. (1993). A note on some rates of convergence in first-passage percolation. *Annals. Appl. Probab.*, **3**, 81–90.

[2] J. T. Cox and R. Durrett. (1981). Some Limit Theorems for Percolation Processes with Necessary and Sufficient Conditions. *Annals Prob.*, **9**, 583–603.

[3] R. Durrett. (2010). Probability: Theory and examples. *Cambridge.*

[4] G. Ganesan. (2014). First passage percolation with nonidentical passage times. *Arxiv Link*: http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.2602

[5] H. Kesten. (1986). Aspects of First Passage Percolation. *Springer.*

[6] H. Kesten. (1993). On the Speed of convergence in first-passage percolation. *Annals Appl. Prob.*, **3**, 296–338.

[7] J. F. C. Kingman. (1973). The ergodic theory of subadditive stochastic processes. *Annals Prob.*, **1**, 883–909.

[8] R. T. Smythe and J. C. Wierman. (2008). *First-Passage Percolation on the Square Lattice*. Springer-Verlag.